HABILITATION THESIS

Innovation, Digital Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Social Impact

- Summary-

Candidates: Cătălina Silvia Crișan

Babeş- Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Faculty of Economics and Business Administration

Summary

Given the systemic nature of socio-economic challenges facing society, innovation, inclusion, and the development of mechanisms to get the most out of the available resources are critical necessities. Challenges like climate change, sustainability, education and learning, equality, diversity and inclusion, require people to come up with new products, processes, and business models, to create growth and sustainable social impact. Thus, young generations must be driven toward such challenges, by facilitating access to successful innovation models, education, and experimentation.

This habilitation thesis aims to highlight the achievements, and the future perspectives of the candidate, Cătălina Silvia Crișan, related to her scientific, teaching activities and civic activities related to the entrepreneurship ecosystem. The candidate summarizes her activity in the field of management, focusing mainly on entrepreneurship and sustainable development. After completing the doctoral thesis, her research initiatives were meanly oriented toward the development of literature and practice in the field of Social and Digital Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility. The expertise and the knowledge achieved have been materialised over time, in research internships, participation in international conferences and cooperation with researchers from prestigious universities from abroad. Babes-Bolyai University offered her access to competitive training and skills development framework through research internships during her doctoral and post-doctoral studies, but also the opportunity to have access to examples of good practices and valuable sources for social capital development. Following the visits and research internships carried out at international universities, the candidate was always interested to identify colleagues and nurture collaborations for research purposes, both internationally and nationally. These interactions enabled her to have access to examples of good practices and models that can be implemented, both in research and in teaching, to ensure a high-performance activity and follow the newest trends in the field.

The candidate's habilitation thesis will address the topic of (social) entrepreneurship, and Corporate Social Responsibility and she will highlight findings regarding social and digital innovation, and the way organisations can contribute to sustainable development. The candidate will highlight in this habilitation thesis the results obtained so far, but also the perspectives aimed in her research, teaching and civic activities with the stakeholders from the entrepreneurial ecosystem. These aspects will be detailed in this paper, as follows:

Chapter 1. highlights the candidate's career achievements providing a perspective on her teaching and research activity and civic implications for the business environment and the social economy. The three targeted components will provide an overview related to the visibility in the research field, the prestige and recognition acquired so far and the collaborative partnerships developed by the candidate. The chapter also highlights a series of scientometric indicators and the international recognition of the candidate's activity, respectively a record of the events, activities and contacts created throughout her career. The evolution in the teaching career was closely related to the field of research and always aimed at deepening theoretical knowledge through research, providing students access to the latest trends in the field, but also attracting partners from both the academic and private sector and with the social economy. The candidate considers it is vital to interconnect the research activity with that of the business environment and the social economy, to promote both competitive and valuable teaching activities for students, as well as to facilitate market integration and contribute to the development of the local economy. Innovative approaches and the encouragement of entrepreneurial behaviour among students cannot be done otherwise than by providing relevant and up-to-date examples, but also by highlighting the practicality intended to help students understand the applicability of the theory.

Chapter 2 highlights the candidate's most relevant publications due to her research activity. Her postdoctoral research was aimed at deepening the research initiated during her doctorate, respectively she pursued the permanent updating with the international trends in the entrepreneurship field.

The candidate, together with collaborators from FSEGA and researchers from some internationally prestigious faculties, carried out research activities in the sphere of management, with an orientation on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), social entrepreneurship and digital entrepreneurship. During her scientific career, the candidate contributed to the development of literature in the field of entrepreneurship and sustainable development, presenting an interest in the way for-profit organizations (e.g. entrepreneurs and corporations), contribute to sustainable development and products/services innovation. The chapter begins with the influence of corruption on employees/citizens' migration and organizational performance and continues by highlighting the importance of Corporate Social Responsibility and the role of companies in sustainable development. This summary emphasizes the findings of the following articles:

- Crișan E. L., Crișan-Mitra C. & Dragoș C., (2019), The Impact on Migration Intentions of Perceived Corruption at the Organizational and Country Level in Romania," Eastern European Economics, Taylor & Francis Journals, vol. 57(5), pages 430-455.
- Crişan C. S., Stanca L. M. & Dabija D. C., (2020), Corporate social Performance: an assessment model on an emerging market, Sustainability, 12 (10).
- ➤ Crişan C. S., Dinu V., Postelnicu C. & Dabija D. C., (2016), "Corporate Practice of Sustainable Development in an Emerging Market", Social_Sciences_Citation, Transformations in Business & Economics, Vol. 15, No 1(37), 2016, P. 161 173.

Also in this chapter are detailed 3 publications from her research activity, namely following two main directions: (1) *social entrepreneurship* and how social enterprises gain legitimacy and (2) *digital entrepreneurship*, which emphasises relevant factors in digital innovation

Social entrepreneurship

➤ Bunduchi, R., Smart, A.U., Crişan-Mitra, C. & Cooper, S. (2022), Legitimacy and innovation in social enterprises, International Small Business Journal - Researching Entrepreneurship, Vol. 0(0), p. 1-30

Digital entrepreneurship

- ➤ Bunduchi, R., Crişan-Mitra, C., Salanţa, I.I. & Crişan, E. L. (2021), Digital product innovation approach in entrepreneurial firms the role of entrepreneurs' cognitive frames, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol.175, p.1-15.
- Yoruk, D. E., Bunduchi, R., Yoruk, E., Crişan-Mitra, C., Salanţa, I.I., & Crişan, E. L., (2021), Pathways to innovation in Romanian software SMEs: Exploring the impact of interdependencies between internationalization and knowledge sources, Journal of International Management, Volume 27 (4), p. 1-22.

The article related to social entrepreneurship aims to highlight the way social enterprises gain legitimacy among main stakeholders, respectively, the role of innovation in social value

creation. The topic of digital entrepreneurship relies on the knowledge and the expertise of the candidate in the field of entrepreneurship, knowledge management, and strategic management and deals with how innovation can be managed to bring more value under the influence of different factors (e.g. internationalization, entrepreneurs cognitive frame)

In **Chapter 3**, the candidate presented the university career development plan envisioned to be implemented in the following years. The knowledge resulting from the research activities was capitalized on, during the doctoral and post-doctoral studies carried out at Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca; Complutense University, Madrid Spain; University of Rochester, New York, USA. The candidate aimed to strengthen her results through contract scientific research, in this sense, looking for opportunities to apply for various research projects and grants. These approaches involved collaborations with teaching staff from the country and abroad and contributed to the development of research skills, opening new research perspectives.

Also, the candidate's research was guided by the desire to identify practical solutions, and good practice examples, in the field of entrepreneurship, to enable the access of the students to a realistic perspective on the applicability of the theory, in the context given by the national and international market. To ensure the continuity of research activities, the topics addressed by the candidate consistently followed the line of entrepreneurship and sustainable development, a fact that allowed the creation of a community of practice that enabled access to knowledge and expertise to objectively get the validation of the results.

Interdisciplinary collaboration was always considered by the candidate to be the way to promote innovation, both in projects with students and in research activities. The research studies carried out will be capitalized on by the candidate, both in the teaching and research career and in the relationship with actors from the business environment and the social economy. The candidate appreciates the fact that research and practice in the university environment can generate synergistic effects with spectacular beneficial results, as long as the expectations of the parties involved are known and the partners assume and fulfil the assumed responsibilities. Such partnerships between researchers and practitioners can lead to remarkable results, as long as the parties involved manage to develop long-term partnerships based on trust and commitment.

The university career plan aims to address the research, teaching and relationship with the business environment and the social economy, due to the need for validation that can only take place through practice, respectively it can be used to strengthen the learning process and complement practical knowledge.

References

- 1. Aarikka-Stenroos L. & Sandberg B (2012) From new-product development to commercialization through networks. *Journal of Business Research* 65(2): 198-206.
- 2. Antonopoulou, K. & Begkos. C. (2020). Strategizing for digital innovations: Value propositions for transcending market boundaries. *Technology Forecasting & Social Change*, 156, article no 120042
- Ardito, L. & Petruzzelli, A. M. (2017). Breadth of external knowledge sourcing and product innovation: The moderating role of strategic human resource practices. Eur. Manag. J. 35, 261-272.
- 4. Autio, E., Sapienza, H. & Almeida, J., (2000). Effects of age at entry, knowledge intensity, and imitability on international growth. Acad. of Manag. J. 43(5), 909–924.
- 5. Azad, B. & Faraj, S. (2008). Making e-Government systems workable: Exploring the evolution of frames. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 17(2), 75-98.
- 6. Baregheh, A., Rowley, J. & Sambrook, S., 2009. Towards a multidisciplinary definition of innovation. Manag. Decision. 47(8), 1323-1339.
- 7. Baronchelli, G. & Cassia, F., 2014. Exploring the antecedents of born-global companies' international development. Int. Entrep. Manag. J. 10 (1), 67–79.
- 8. Battilana J., & Lee M (2014) Advancing research on hybrid organizing insights from the study of social enterprises. *Academy of Management Annals* 8(1): 397-441.
- 9. Baunsgaard, V.V. & Clegg, S. (2013). 'Walls or boxes': The effect of professional identify, power and rationality on strategies for cross-functional integration, *Organization Science*, 22, 1299-1325.
- 10. Beck, K. (2001). Manifesto for Agile Software Development, retrieved on 5th of January, 2021 from www.agilemanifesto.org
- 11. Bell, J., 1995. The internationalization of small computer software firms: A further challenge to 'stage' theories. Eur. J.Market. 29(8), 60-75.
- 12. Berends, H., Jelinek, M., Reymen, I. & Stultiens, R. (2013). Product innovation processes in small firms: combining entrepreneurial effectuation and managerial causation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 616-635.
- 13. Berg-Schlosser, D. & De Meur, G. (2009). Comparative research design: Case and variable selection, in Rihoux, B., Ragin, C. C. (Eds.), Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques (applied social research methods), SAGE Publications, pp. 19–32.

- 14. Berg-Schlosser, D., De Meur, G., Rihoux, B. & Ragin, C. C., 2009. Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) as an approach, in Rihoux, B., Ragin, C. C. (Eds.), Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques (applied social research methods), SAGE Publications, pp. 1–18.
- 15. Bessant, J. & Francis, D. (1997). Implementing the new product development process. *Technovation*, 17(4), 189-197.
- 16. Brundtland Commission (1987), World Commission on Environment and Development, Our Common Future, University Press.
- 17. Bruneel J., Moray, N., Stevens, R. & Fassin, Y. (2016) Balancing competing logics in for-profit social enterprises: A need for hybrid governance. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship* 7(3): 263-288.
- 18. Caloghirou, Y., Kastelli, I. & Tsakanikas, A. (2004). Internal capabilities and external knowledge sources: complements or substitutes for innovative performance? Technovation. 24(1), 29-39.
- 19. Cassiman, B., Golovko, E. & Martinez-Ros, E., (2010). Innovation, exports and productivity, Intl J of Ind. Org. 28, 372-376.
- 20. Cavusgil, S.T., (1996). The born global firm: A challenge to traditional internationalization theory, in Cavusgil, S. T., Madsen, T. K. (Eds), Export internationalizing research—enrichment and challenges. Advances in International Marketing, Vol 8, Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, pp. 11-26.
- 21. Cavusgil, S.T. & Knight, G. A., (2015). The born global firm: An entrepreneurial and capabilities perspective on early and rapid internationalization. J. of Int. Bus. Stud. 46, 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2014.62
- 22. Chakrabarti, A.K. (1974). The role of champion in product innovation. *California Management Review*, 17(2), 58-62.
- 23. Chetty, S.K. & Stangl, L.M. (2010). Internationalisation and innovation in a network relationship context. European Journal of Marketing, 44, 1725–1743.
- 24. Cohen, W.M. & Levinthal, D.A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. Adm. Sci. Quarterly, 35, 128-152.
- 25. Coles, J.L., Li, Z.F. (2020a). An Empirical Assessment of Empirical Corporate Finance. *SSRN J*.
- 26. Coles, J.L., Li, Z.F., (2020b) Managerial Attributes, Incentives, and Performance. *Rev. Corp. Financ. Stud.*

- 27. Cooper, B. & Glaesser, J. (2016). Exploring the robustness of set theoretic findings from a large n fsQCA: An illustration from the sociology of education. Int. J. of Soc. Res. Method.19, 445–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2015.1033799
- 28. Cooper, B., Glaesser, J., Gomm, R. & Hammerley, M., (2012). Introduction: The qualitative-quantitative divide, in Cooper, B., Glaesser, J., Gomm, R., Hammerley, M. (Eds.), Challenging the qualitative-quantitative divide: Explorations in case focused causal analysis, Continuum International Publishing Group, pp. 1–24.
- 29. Cormican, K. & O'Sullivan, D. (2004). Auditing best practice for effective product innovation management. *Technovation*, 24(10), 819-829.
- 30. Cornelissen, J.P & Werner, M.D. (2014). Putting framing in perspective: a review of framing and frame analysis across the management and organizational literature. *Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1), 181-235.
- 31. Coule, T. & Patmore B. (2013). Institutional logics, institutional work, and public service innovation in non-profit organisations. *Public Administration* 91(4): 980-997.
- 32. Coviello, N. & Munro, H., (1997). Network relationships and the internationalisation process of small software firms. Int. Bus. Rev. 6, 361-368. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-5931(97)00010-3
- 33. Coviello, N.E., (2006). The network dynamics of international new ventures. J. of Int. Bus. Stud. 37(5), 713-731. Crick, D., Spence, M., 2005. The internationalisation of 'high performing' UK high-tech SMEs: A study of planned and unplanned strategies. Int. Bus. Rev. 14(2), 167e185.
- 34. Coviello, N.E. & Jones, M.V. (2004). Methodological issues in international entrepreneurship research, J. of Bus. Ventur. 19 (4), 485-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2003.06.001
- 35. Crişan, C.M. (2013), "Approaching corporate social responsibility trough cross sector social partnerships—case study of Bufab Romania", *Management & Marketing*, Vol. 8, No 4, pp.623-636.
- 36. Davidson, E.J. (2002). Technology frames and framing: A socio-cognitive investigation of requirements determination. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(4), 329-358.
- 37. Deephouse D.L., Bundy J., Tost L.P. & Suchman MC (2017). Organizational legitimacy: Six key questions. In Greenwood R, Oliver C, Lawrence T and Meyer R (eds) *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism*. Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp.27-52.

- 38. Di Domenico M., Haugh H. & Tracey P. (2010) Social bricolage: Theorizing social value creation in social enterprises. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice* 34(4): 681-703.
- 39. Doherty B, Haugh H & Lyon, F (2014) Social enterprises as hybrid organizations: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 16(4): 417-436.
- 40. Douglas, E. J., Shepherd, D. A. & Prentice, C., 2020. Using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis for a finer-grained understanding of entrepreneurship. J. of Bus. Ventur. 35, 1–17.
- 41. Duc, A.N., Abrahamsson, P. (2016). Minimum viable product or multiple facet product? The role of MVP in software startups, In Proceedings of the 17th International Conference of Agile Processes, in Software Engineering, and Extreme Programming, XP 2016, Edinburgh, UK, May 24-27, 2016.
- 42. Dunbar, R.L. & Starbuck, W.H.(2006), Learning to design organizations and learning from designing them. *Organ. Sci.*, 17, 171–178
- 43. Edwards-Schachter, M. (2018). The nature and variety of innovation, International Journal of Innovation Studies, 2(2):65-79.
- 44. Emerging Europe. (2020). New investment in tech university cements Cluj as innovation hub, https://emerging- europe.com/news/new-investment-in-tech-university-cements-cluj-as-innovation-hub/
- 45. Eriksson, K., J.Johanson, A.Majkgaird & D.D.Sharma (1997). Experiential Knowledge and Cost in the Internationalization Process, Journal of International Business Studies, 28(2): 337-360.
- 46. Eriksson, K., Johanson, J., Majkgård, A. & Sharma, D. (2000). Effect of variation on knowledge accumulation in the internationalisation process. Int. Stud. of Manage. and Org. 30(1), 26–44.
- 47. Escribano, A., Fosfuri, A. & Tribo, J.A., (2009). Managing external knowledge flows: The moderating role of absorptive capacity. Res. Pol. 38, 96–105.
- 48. Eurofound. (2012). Born global: The potential of job creation in new international businesses. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Accessed 27 September 2020. https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/report/2013/labour market-business/born-global-the-potential-of-job-creation-in-new-international-businesses

- 49. Falahat, M., Knight, G. & Alon, I., 2018. Orientations and capabilities of born global firms from emerging markets, International Marketing Review, 35(6): 936-957. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-01-2017-0021
- 50. Fan, P., Urs, N. & Hamlin, R.E. (2019). Rising innovative city-regions in a transitional economy: A case study of ICT industry in Cluj-Napoca, Romania. Technology in Society, 58 (Aug), 101-139. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0160791X19300508
- 51. Fauchart, E. & Gruber, M. (2011). Darwinians, communitarians, and missionaries: The role of foinderidentity in entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(5), 935-957.
- 52. Filipescu, D., Prashantham, S., Rialp, A. & Rialp, J. (2013). Technological innovation and exports:unpacking their reciprocal causality, J. of Int. Market. 21(1), 23–38. https://doi.org/10.1509/jim.12.0099
- 53. Filippetti, A., Frenz, M. & Ietto-Gillies, G., (2011). Are innovation and internationalization related? An analysis of European countries. Ind. and Innov. 18(5), 437–45. https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2011.583461
- 54. Fisher G, Kotha S & Lahiri A. (2016). Changing with the times: an integrated view of identity, legitimacy, and new venture life cycles. *Academy of Management Journal* 41(3): 383-409.
- 55. Fisher G., Kuratko D.F., Bloodgood, J.M. & Hornsby J.S. (2017). Legitimate to whom? The challenge of audience diversity and new venture legitimacy. *Journal of Business Venturing* 32(1): 52-71.
- 56. Fiss, P. C. (2007). A set-theoretic approach to organizational configurations. Acad. of Manag. Rev. 32, 1180–1198.
- 57. Fiss, P. C. (2011). Building better causal theories: A fuzzy set approach to typologies in organisation research. Acad. of Manag. J. 54, 393-420. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.60263120
- 58. Freel, M. S., & Harrison, R. T. (2006). Innovation and cooperation in the small firm sector: Evidence from 'northern Britain. Regional Studies, 40(4), 289–305. DOI: 10.1080/00343400600725095
- 59. Freeman, S., Edwards, R. & Schroder, B. (2006). How smaller born-global firms use networks and alliances to overcome constraints to rapid internationalization. J. of Int. Market. 14(3), 33-63.

- 60. Frenz, M. & Ietto-Gillies, G., (2009). The impact on innovation performance of different sources of knowledge: Evidence from the UK Community Innovation Survey. Res. Pol. 38, 1125–1135.
- 61. Garcia R & Calantone R. (2002). A critical look at technological innovation typology and innovativeness terminology: a literature review. *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 19(2): 110-132.
- 62. Garriga, E. & Mélé, D. (2004), Corporate and Social Responsability Theories: Mapping the Theory", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 53, No , pp.51-71.
- 63. Genc, E., Dayan, M. & Genc, O.F. (2019). The impact of SME internationalization on innovation: The mediating role of market and entrepreneurial orientation. Ind. Market. Manag. 82: 253–264.
- 64. George, G.; Howard-Grenville, J.; Joshi, A. & Tihanyi, L. (2016). Understanding and tackling societal grand challenges through management research. *Acad. Manag. J.*, 59, 1880–1895.
- 65. Gittins, T., Lang, R. & Sass, M. (2015). The effect of return migration driven social capital on SME internationalisation: A comparative case study of IT sector entrepreneurs in Central and Eastern Europe, Rev Manag Sci. 9, 385–409.
- 66. Golovko, E. & Valentini, G. (2011). Exploring the Complementarity Between Innovation and Export for SMEs' Growth. J. of Int. Bus. Stud. 42 (3), 362–80.
- 67. Greckhamer, T. & Misangyi, V. F., Fiss, P. C., 2013. The two QCAs: From a small-N to a large-N set theoretic approach, in Fiss, P. C., Cambre, B., Marx, A. (Eds.), Configurational theory and methods in organisational research, Emerald Publishing,
- 68. Gresov, C. & Drazin, R., (1997). Equifinality: Functional equivalence in organization design. Acad. of Manag. Rev. 22, 403–428.
- 69. Grimes, S. & Yang, C. (2017). From foreign technology dependence towards greater innovation autonomy: China's integration into the information and communications technology (ICT) global value chain (GVC). Area Dev. and Pol. 1–17.
- 70. Grimes, S. & Sun, Y. (2014). Implications of China's on-going dependence on foreign technology. Geoforum. 54, 59–69. Grossman, G., Helpman, E., 1991. Innovation and growth in the global economy. Cambridge, Massachussets. The MIT Press.
- 71. Haddoud, M. Y., Jones, P. & Newbery, R. (2020). Export intention in developing countries: A configuration approach to managerial success actors. J. of Small Bus. Manag. 1–29

- 72. Häge, F. M. (2007). Constructivism, fuzzy sets and (very) small-N: Revisiting the conditions for communicative action. J. of Bus. Res. 60, 512–521. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jbusres.2007.01.009
- 73. Hargrave, T.J. & Van de Ven, A.H. (2006). A collective action model of institutional innovation. *Academy of Management Review*, 31, 864-888.
- 74. Heikkurinen, P. & Bonnedahl, K.J. (2013). Corporate responsibility for sustainable development: a review and conceptual comparison of market- and stakeholder-oriented strategies, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 43, No , pp.191-198.
- 75. Hitt, M.A., Dacin, M.T., Levitas, E., Arregle, J.-L. and Borza, A. (2000). Partner selection in emerging and developed market contexts: resource-based and organizational learning perspectives, Academy of Management Journal, 43(3): 449-467.
- 76. Hockerts, N.K. (2007), Competitive Advantage, in: Visser, W., Matten, D., Pohl, M., Tolhurst, N. (eds.), *The A to Z of Corporate Social Responsability. A Complete reference Guide to Concepts, Codes and Organizations*, John Wiley & Sons, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex.
- 77. Hong, B., Li, Z.F.& Minor, D. (2016). Corporate Governance and Executive Compensation for Corporate Social Responsibility. J. Bus. Ethics, 136, 199–213. [CrossRef]
- 78. Hou, T.C.T.(2019). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and sustainable financial performance: Firm-level evidence from Taiwan. Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag., 26, 19–29.
- 79. Ibeh, K. & Kasem, L. (2011). The network perspective and the internationalization of small and medium sized software firms from Syria. Ind. Market. Manag. 40(3), 358–367.
- 80. Idris, B. & Saridakis, G., (2018), Local formal interpersonal networks and SMEs internationalisation: Empirical evidence from the UK.Int. Bus. Rev. 27, 610–624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2017.10.010
- 81. Inkpen, A.C. & Tsang. E.W., (2005). Social Capital, Networks, and Knowledge Transfer. Acad. of Manag. Rev. 30(1), 146–165 26.
- 82. Ikram, A.; Li, Z.F.; Minor, D.(2019). CSR-Contingent Executive Compensation Contracts. J. Bank. Finance.
- 83. Jayawarna, D., Jones, O. & Macpherson A., (2020). Resourcing social enterprises: The role of socially oriented bootstrapping. *British Journal of Management* 31(1): 56-79
- 84. Jean R.-J. & Kim, D. (2020). Internet and SMEs' internationalization: The role of platform and website. J. of Int. Manag.

- 85. Jiang, G., Kotabe, M., Zhang, F., Haod, A. W., Paul, J. & Wang, C. L., (2020). The determinants and performance of early internationalizing firms: A literature review and research agenda. Int. Bus. Rev. 29(4) [101662]
- 86. Johannisson, B., (1998). Personal networks in emerging knowledge-based firms: spatial and functional patterns. Entrep. and Reg. Dev. 10(4), 297-312.
- 87. Johanson, J. & Vahlne, J.E., (1977). The internationalization process of the firm a model of knowledge development and increasing foreign market commitments. Journal of International Management. 8, 23–32.
- 88. Jordan, E., Gross, M. E., Javernick-Will, A. N. & Garvin, M. J., (2011). Use and misuse of qualitative comparative analysis. Construct. Manag. and Econ. 29, 1159–1173.
- 89. Joshi, A.W., Sharma, S., (2004). Customer knowledge development: antecedents and impact on new product performance. Journal of Marketing, 68 (4), 47–59.
- 90. Kallinikos, J., Aaltonen, A. & Marton, A. (2013). The ambivalent ontology of digital artifacts, *MIS Quarterly*, 37(2), 357-370.
- 91. Kaplan, S. (2008). Framing contests: Strategy making under uncertainty, *Organization Science*, 19 (5), 729-752.
- 92. Kaplan, S. & Tripsas, M. (2008). Thinking about technology: Applying a cognitive lens to technical change, *Research Policy*, 37, 790-805.
- 93. Kardos, M. (2014), The Relevance of Foreign Direct Investment for sustainable development. Empirical evidence from European Union, *Procedia Economics and Finance*, Vol. 15, No , pp.1349-1354.
- 94. Keinert, C. (2008). *Corporate social responsibility as an international strategy*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- 95. Khan, K.B., Barczak, G., Nicholas, J., Ledwith, A. & Perks, H. (2012). An examination of new product development best practice. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(2), 180-192.
- 96. Kholi, R. & Melville, N.P. (2019). Digital innovation: A review and synthesis. *Information Systems Journal*, 29, 200-223.
- 97. Kim, C-S. & Inkpen, A.C., (2005). Cross-border R&D alliances, absorptive capacity and technology learning. J. Int. Manag. 11, 313-329.
- 98. Kimmitt, J. & Munoz, P., (2017). Entrepreneurship and financial inclusion through the lens of instrumental freedoms. Int. Small Bus. J. 35, 803–828. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242617700699

- 99. Knight, G. A. & Cavusgil, S.T., (2004). Innovation, organizational capabilities, and the born-global firm. J. Int. Bus. Stud. 35, 124-141. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400071
- 100. Krajnc, D. & Glavic, P. (2005), A model for integrated assessment of sustainable development", *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, Vol. 43, No, pp.189-208.
- 101. Kraus, S., Ribeiro-Soriano, D. & Schüssler, M. (2017). Fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) in entrepreneurship and innovation research the rise of a method, Int Entrep Manag J, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-017-0461-8
- 102. Krogslund, C. & Michel, K., (2014). A larger-N, fewer variables problem? The counterintuitive sensitivity of QCA. Qual. Multi- method Res. 12, 25–33. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2552940
- 103. Kuratko D.F., Fisher G., Bloodgood J.M. & Hornsby J.S. (2017). The paradox of new venture legitimation within an entrepreneurial system. *Small Business Economics* 49(1): 119-140.
- 104. Laifi A & Josserand E (2016) Legitimation in practice: A new digital publishing business model. *Journal of Business Research* 69 (7): 2343-2353.
- 105. Leonardi, P.M. (2011). Innovation blindness: Culture, frames, and cross-boundary problem construction in the development of new technology concepts. *Organization Science*, 22(2), 347-369.
- 106. Lewandowska, M.S., Szymura-Tyc, M., & Gołębiowski, T., 2016. Innovation complementarity, cooperation partners, and new product export: Evidence from Poland. J. Bus. Res. 69: 3673–3681.
- 107. Li, Z.F.; Thibodeau, C. (2019). CSR-Contingent Executive Compensation Incentive and Earnings Management. Sustainability, 11, 3421.
- 108. Lin, H-E & McDonough, E.F. (2014) Cognitive Frames, Learning Mechanisms, and Innovation Ambidexterity. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(51), 170-188.
- 109. Liu, X., 2010. China's Catch-Up and Innovation Model in IT Industry. Int. J. Tech. Manag. 51 (2–4), 194–216.
- 110. Loane, S. & Bell, J.D., (2006). Rapid internationalization among entrepreneurial firms in Australia, Canada, Ireland and New Zealand: An extension to the network approach. Int. Market. Rev. 23 (5), 467–485.

- 111. Lopez, R., (2005). Trade and Growth: reconciling the macroeconomic and microeconomic evidence. Journal of Economic Surveys, 19(4): 623-648. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0950-0804.2005.00264.x
- 112. Love, J.H. & Ganotakis, P., (2013). Learning by exporting: Lessons from high-technology SMEs, Int. Bus. Rev. 22, 1–17.
- 113. Mahoney, J. & Gohertz, G., (2006). A tale of two cultures: Contrasting quantitative and qualitative research. Pol. Anal. 14, 227— 249. https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpj017
- 114. Marion, T.J., Friar, J.H. & Simpson, T.W. (2012). New product development practices and early stage firms: Two in-depth case studies. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(4), 639-654.
- 115. Marvel, M.R. & Lumpkin, G.T. (2007). Technology entrepreneurs' human capital and its effects on innovation radicalness. *Entrepreneurship, Theory & Practice*, 31(6), 807-828.
- 116. Mathias, B.D. & Williams, D.W. (2017). The impact of role identities on entrepreneurs' evaluation and selection of opportunities. *Journal of Management*, 43(3), 892-918.
- 117. Mention, A.L., (2011). Co-Operation and Co-Opetition as Open Innovation Practices in the Service Sector: Which Influence on Innovation Novelty? Technovation. 31(1), 44–53.
- 118. Miles, M. B. & Huberman, A.M. (1998). Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook. Sage.
- 119. Miller, C.C., Cardinal, L.B. & Glick, W.H. (1997). Retrospective reports in organizational research: A reexamination of recent evidence. *Academy of Management*, 40(1), 189-204
- 120. Moen, O., Gavlen, M., & Endresen, I., (2004). The internationalization of small computer software firms: A further challenge to 'stage' theories. Eur. J. Market. 38(9/10), 1236-1251.
- 121. Mort, G., & Weerawardena, J. (2006). Networking capability and international entrepreneurship: How networks function in Australian born global firms. Int. Market. Rev. 23(5), 549–572.
- 122. Mosey, S., (2005). Understanding new- to- market product development in SMEs. International J. Oper.Prod. Manag. 25(2), 114-130.

- 123. Munoz, P. & Kimmitt, J. (2019). Social mission as competitive advantage: A configurational analysis of the strategic conditions of social entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Research* 101 (August): 854:861.
- 124. My-Gateway Project. (2019). Entrepreneurship in Czech Republic, Romania and Slovenia: Everything You Need to Know. EuropaMedia.https://mygatewayproject.eu/blog/post/45/a-long-awaited-appointment-with-entrepreneurship-in-central and-eastern-europe.
- 125. Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship, Theory & Practice*, 41(6), 1029-1055.
- 126. Nambisan, S., Lyytinen, K., Majchrzak, A. & Song, M. (2017). Digital innovation management: Reinventing innovation management research in a digital world. *MIS Quarterly*, 41(1), 2230238.
- 127. Nelling, E.& Webb, E. (2009). Corporate social Responsibility and financial performance: The "virtuous circle" revisited. Rev. Quant. Finan Acc. 2009, 32, 197–209. [CrossRef]
- 128. OECD. (2016). OECD Competition Assessment Reviews: Romania.
- 129. Ojala, A., 2009. Internationalization of knowledge-intensive SMEs: the role of network relationships in the entry to a psychically distant market. Int. Bus. Rev. 18(1), 50–59.
- 130. Oliver, C. (1991). Strategic responses to institutional processes. *Academy of Management Review* 16(1): 145-179.
- 131. Onkelinx, J., Manolova, T.S. & Edelman, L.F., (2016). The human factor: Investments in employee human capital, productivity, and SME internationalization. J. Int. Manag. 22, 351–364.
- 132. Orlikowski, W. J. & Gash, D. C. (1994), Technological frames: making sense of information technology in organizations, *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 12(2), 174-207.
- 133. Oviatt, B.M. & McDougall, P.P., (2005). Defining international entrepreneurship and modelling the speed of internationalization. Entrep. Theory and Pract. 29(5), 537–554.
- 134. Pache, A.-C. & Santos, F. (2013). Inside the hybrid organization: selective coupling as a response to competing institutional logics. *Academy of Management Journal* 56(4): 972-1001.
- 135. Pantea, Maria-Carmen. (2021). A New Elite? Higher Education as Seen through the Lens of Young People Working in Innovative Technologies. Innovation The European Journal of Social Science Research,

- 136. Parente, T.C. & Federo, R., (2019). Qualitative comparative analysis: justifying a neo-configurational approach in management research. RAUSP Manag. J. 54, 399-412.
- 137. Park, J.S. (2005). Opportunity recognition and product innovation in entrepreneurial hitech start-ups: a new perspective and supporting case study, *Technovation*, 25(7), 739-752.
- 138. Pavia, T.M. (1991). The early stages of new product development in entrepreneurial high-tech firms. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 8(1), 18-31.
- 139. Pavitt, K., (1991). What makes basic research economically useful? Research Policy, 20, 109–119.
- 140. Phillips, W., Alexander, E.A. & Lee, H. (2019). Going it alone won't work! The relational imperative for social innovation in social enterprises. *Journal of Business Ethics* 156(2): 315-331.
- 141. Pop, N.A., Roman, M., Săniuţă, A. & Petrișoaia, C. (2012). Relationship Marketing, Engine of Sustainable Development and Organisational Change in Romanian Business Environment, *Amfiteatru Economic*, Vol. 14, No 32, pp.349-364.
- 142. Powell, E.E. & Baker, T. (2014). It's what you make of it: Founder identity and enacting strategic responses to adversity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(5), 1406-1433.
- 143. Pret, T. & Carter S. (2017). The importance of "fitting in": collaboration and social value creation in response to community norms and expectations. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development* 29 (7-8): 639-667
- 144. Puthusserry, P., Khan, Z., Knight, G., & Miller, K., (2020). How Do Rapidly Internationalizing SMEs Learn? Exploring the Link Between Network Relationships, Learning Approaches and Post entry Growth of Rapidly Internationalizing SMEs from Emerging Markets. Manag. Int. Rev. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-020-00424-9
- 145. Quirke L. (2013). Rogue resistance: Sidestepping isomorphic pressures in a patchy institutional field. *Organization Studies* 34(11): 1675-1699.
- 146. Raffaelli, R., Glynn, M.A. & Tushman, M. (2019). Frame flexibility: The role of cognitive and emotional framing in innovation adoption by incumbent firms, *Strategic Management Journal*, 40(7): 1013-1039.
- 147. Ragin, C. C., (1987). The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies. Univ. of California Press.
- 148. Ragin, C. C., (2008). User's guide to fuzzy-set/qualitative comparative analysis 2.0. Department of Sociology, Univ. of California.

- 149. Reuber, A.R. & Fischer, E.M., (1997). The role of management's international experience in the internationalization of smaller firms, J. Int. Bus. Stud. 28(4), 807-825.
- 150. Rhee, J., Park, T. & Lee, D.H., (2010). Drivers of innovativeness and performance for innovative SMEs in South Korea: Mediation of learning orientation. Technovation. 30, 65–75.
- 151. Ries, E. (2011). The Lean Startup: How today's entrepreneurs use continuous innovation to create radically successful businesses, Crown Business
- 152. Salomon, R., & Shaver, J.M. (2005). Learning by Exporting: New Insights from Examining Firm Innovation. J. Econ. Manag. Strategy, 14 (2), Summer, 431–460. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9134.2005.00047.x
- 153. Saridakis, G., Idris, B., Hansen, J.M. & Dana, L.P., (2019). SMEs' internationalisation: When does innovation matter? J. Bus. Res. 96, 250–263.
- 154. Schneider, C.Q., Wagemann, C., (2012). Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- 155. Sheng, M. L., & Chien, I., (2016). Rethinking organizational learning orientation on radical and incremental innovation in high- tech firms. Journal of Business Research, 69, 2302–2308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.12.046
- 156. Siegner. M., Pinkse, J. & Panwar, R. (2018). Managing tensions in a social enterprise: The complex balancing act to deliver a multi-faceted but coherent social mission. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 174(February):1314-1324.
- 157. Slater, S.F., Mohr, J.J. & Sengupta, S. (2014). Radical product innovation capability: Literature review, synthesis, and illustrative research propositions. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 552-566. Smith. W.K. and Tushman, M.L. (2005). Managing strategic contradictions: A top management model for managing innovation streams. *Organization Science*, 16(5), 522-536.
- 158. Stivers, R. (1976), *The sustainable Society: Ethics and Economi Grouth*, Westminster Press Philadelphia.
- 159. Stoian, C., Rialp, A., Rialp, J., & Jarvis, R., (2016). Romanian context Internationalisation of Central and Eastern European small firms. Institutions, resources and networks. J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev. 23 (1), 105-121.
- 160. Stokke, O. S., 2007. Qualitative comparative analysis, shaming, and international regime effectiveness. J. Bus. Res. 60, 501–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2007.01.003

- 161. Suchman, M. (1995) Managing legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *The Academy of Management Review* 20(3): 571-610.
- 162. Sullivan, D.M., & Marvel, M.R., (2011). Knowledge acquisition, network reliance, and early-stage technology venture outcomes. J. Manage. Stud. 48(6), 1169–1193.
- 163. Teece, D., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A., (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and strategic Management. Strateg. Manag. J. 18(7), 509-533.
- 164. Thakur-Wernz, P., Cantwell, J., Samant, S., 2019. Impact of international entry choices on the nature and type of innovation: Evidence from emerging economy firms from the Indian bio-pharmaceutical industry. Int. Bus. Rev. 28, 101601.
- 165. Thieme, R.J., song, X.M. & Shin, G-C. (2003). Project Management characteristics and new product survival. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 20(2), 104-119.
- 166. Tidd, J. & Bessant, J. (2021) *Managing Innovation: Integrating Technological, Market and Organizational Change*, 7th Edition. Hoboken NJ: Wiley.
- 167. Tidd, J., & Bessant, J.R., (2013). Managing innovation: integrating technological, market and organizational change. Chichester: Wiley
- 168. Tregidga, H., & Milne, M.J. (2005), "From Sustainable Management to Sustainable Development: A Longitudinal Analysis of External Communication by a Leading Environmental Reporter", *Accountancy Working Paper Series*, Otago University Research Archive, University of Otago, New Zeeland.
- 169. Tripsas, M. & Gavetti, G. (2000). Capabilities, cognition, and inertia: evidence from digital imaging. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 1147-1161.
- 170. Tykkylainen S & Ritala P (2021). Business model innovation in social enterprises: An activity system perspective. *Journal of Business Research* 125(March): 684-697.
- 171. Uberbacher, F. (2014). Legitimation of new ventures: A review and research programme. *Journal of Management Studies* 51(4): 667-698.
- 172. Utterback, J.M. & Abernathy, & W.J. (1975). A dynamic model of process and product innovation. *OMEGA*, *The International Journal of Management Science*, 3(6), 639-656.
- 173. Van de Vrande, V., de Jong, J.P.J., Vanhaverbeke, W., & de Rochemont, M., (2009). Open innovation in SMEs: Trends, motives and management challenges. Technovation. 29, 423-437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2008.10.001
- 174. van Dijk, S., Berends, H., Jelinek, M., Romme G.L. & Weggeman., M. (2011) Micro-Institutional Affordances and Strategies of Radical Innovation. *Organization Studies* 32(11): 1485-1513

- 175. Verona, G. (1999). A resource-based view of product development. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(1), 132-142.
- 176. World Bank (2004), Responsible Growth for the New Millennium, Integrating Society, Ecology and Economy, Washington D.C.
- 177. Xie, Y., Gao, S., Jiang, X., and Fey, C. F. (2015). Social Ties and Indigenous Innovation in China's Transition Economy: The Moderating Effects of Learning Intent, Ind. and Innov. 22(2), 79-101.
- 178. Yildiz, H.E., Murtic, A., Klofsten, M., Zander, U., & Richtner, A. (2021). Individual and contextual determinants of innovation performance: A micro-foundations perspective, *Technovation*, 99, article no. 102130
- 179. Yoo, Y., Boland, R.J., Lyytinen, K. & Majchrzak, A. (2012). Organizing for innovation in the digitized world. *Organization Science*, 23 (5), 1398-1408.
- 180. Yoruk, D.E., (2019). Dynamics of firm-level upgrading and the role of learning in networks in emerging markets. Tech. Forecast. Soc. Change. 145, 341-369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.06.042
- 181. Yoruk, E., Jones, P. (2020). Firm-environment alignment of entrepreneurial opportunity exploitation in technology-based ventures: A configurational approach. J. Small Bus. Manag. 1-47.
- 182. Zahra, S. A. & George, G., 2002. Absorptive capacity: A review, reconceptualization, and extension. Acad.Manag.Rev.27(2),185-203.
- 183. Zhou, L., Wu, W. and Luo, X., (2007). Internationalization and the performance of born-global SMEs: the mediating role of social networks. J. Int. Bus. Stud. 38(4), 673–690.
- 184. Zimmerman MA & Zeitz G.J. (2002). Beyond survival: achieving new venture growth by building legitimacy. *Academy of Management Review* 27(3): 414-43.
- 185. Zucchella, A., Palamara, G. & Denicolai, S. (2007). The drivers of the early internationalization of the firm. J. World Bus. 42 (3), 268-80.
- 186. Zuzul, T. & Tripsas, M. (2020). Start-up inertia versus flexibility: The role of founder identity in a nascent industry. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 65(2), 395-433.